

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/981,654	01/08/1998	YASUSHI KANEKO	971480	8315
23850 7	7590 11/05/2002			
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			EXAMINER	
1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MU

# , Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/981,654** 

Applicant(s)

..\_

Kaneko et al.

Examiner

**Dung Nguyen** 

Art Unit 2871

<b>.</b>	_	2 ang 11927 an			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corre	spondence address		
Period 1	for Reply .				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication. beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the original properties of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maili the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 7, 20	002	·		
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This ac	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 3-18	is/ar	e pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) <u>4-18</u>	is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1 and 3		is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/ar	e a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object	ed to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ее 37 CFR 1.85(а).		
11)	) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a li</li></ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n this National Stage		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	)(e).		
a)[	The translation of the foreign language provision	al application has been received			
15)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	O and/or 121.		
Attachm	nent(s)				
1) 🗌 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)		
2)	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)		
3) In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

. Application/Control Number: 08/981,654

Art Unit: 2871

### Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated 02/19/2002 has been received and entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amstutz et al., US Patent No. 4,634,229, in view of Matsunaga, US Patent No. 5,548,423 as stated in the office action dated 11/19/2001.

Although claims 1 is now amended, such amendment "liquid crystal device performs white display utilizing birefringence of said liquid crystal when voltage is not applied thereto, and performs black display when driven" is not sufficient to overcome its rejection in the previous office action.

Applicant is reminded that Amstutz et al. do disclose that axes of the polarizing plates are orthogonal to each other as shown in figure 4 (i.e.,  $\beta + \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ ). In other words, the Amstutz et al. LCD can be operated in normally-white (i.e., liquid crystal device performs white display utilizing birefringence of said liquid crystal when voltage is not applied thereto, and performs black display when driven by applying a voltage)

. Application/Control Number: 08/981,654

Art Unit: 2871

In response to Applicants' argument that Amstutz et al. do not disclose the applying voltage of 10V to 20V, the Examiner agrees that Applicants' view point; however, Matsunaga does disclose that the applied voltage can be in the claimed range 10 to 20V (figure 9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time invention as stated in the previous office action.

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 1 and 3 stand.

#### Conclusion

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 02/19/2002 and 10/02/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as stated above.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 2871

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 10/24/2002

William L. Sikes
Supervisory Patent Examiner

William I like

Group 2871